CORPS & PSYCHISME
P-ISSN: 2496-4476 E-ISSN: 2273-1571
Volume 13/ Issue 1/ 2026

Digital Bodies, Altered Psychism's: Technology and the Reconfiguration of Embodiment

Dr. Sebastian L. Hartmann
Department of Media Studies and Digital Culture, Institute for Technology and Society,
University of Freiburg, Germany

Received: 16/08/2025; Accepted: 27/12/2025; Published: 10/03/2026

Abstract
Emerging digital technologies—such as virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and networked avatars—are transforming how human beings experience their bodies, their selves, and their interactions with the world. This review explores the **reconfiguration of embodiment** in digital contexts and its implications for *psychisme*—the interwoven psychological and bodily sense of self. Drawing on research from cognitive science, media studies, phenomenology, psychology, and technology studies, we examine how digital bodies (e.g., avatars, VR embodiments) reshape bodily self-perception, emotion, identity, and agency. Virtual embodiment studies demonstrate that owning a virtual body can alter emotional responses, cognition, and attitudes beyond mere perceptual experience, creating hybrid forms of presence that blend physical and digital selves. Cultural perspectives emphasize how digital bodies are situated within social structures and power relations, with technologies mediating embodiment in contexts of inequality and augmentation. Clinical and applied dimensions reveal potential for VR and avatar embodiment in wellbeing, mental health, and behavioral change. We conclude by proposing integrative frameworks for understanding digital embodiment that link the **phenomenology of bodily experience** with social, cultural, and technological dynamics shaping the contemporary **psychisme**.

Keywords
digital embodiment, virtual bodies, avatars, virtual reality, psychisme, body representation, internet of bodies, self-identity, digital culture

1. Introduction

The concept of the body has long been central to theories of subjectivity, identity, and social interaction. Traditional accounts assume the body as a fixed biological locus of experience. However, the rapid rise of **digital media, virtual environments, and avatar interfaces** disrupt this assumption. Digital bodies—experienced through VR avatars, online identities, and sensorimotor extensions—challenge conventional boundaries between physical and digital embodiment. As scholars argue, we are increasingly experiencing a **"digital reality"** in which corporeality and digital mediation cannot be separated. This hybrid embodiment intertwines the physical and virtual, reshaping how individuals perceive, enact, and narrate their bodily experiences.

The term *psychisme* refers to the lived intersection of psychological processes and embodied experience. In digital contexts, psychisme must be rethought to account for how digital representations, immersive environments, and sensorimotor couplings alter bodily self-consciousness, affective responses, and identity.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Digital Embodiment

2.1 Historical Perspectives on Embodiment and Technology

Early philosophical and technological debates often portrayed cyberspace as disembodied: a mental realm detached from physical bodies. However, contemporary research rejects this notion. Instead, digital embodiment is seen as deeply rooted in sensorimotor feedback, perceptual experiences, and cognitive integration of digital inputs with bodily self-models.

Don Ihde's work on technology and embodiment highlighted how information technologies transform lived experience by extending sensory reach and altering body–world relationships. Technologies like VR do not remove the body from experience but rather **reconfigure** its sensations and capacities through mediated feedback loops.

2.2 Phenomenological and Cognitive Frameworks

Phenomenology emphasizes that embodiment is not merely physical but experiential: bodies are lived, not just seen. Digital technologies mediate this lived experience by blurring the "offline/online" divide. As Melanie Chan argues, mobile and digital media challenge older distinctions between physical and virtual realities, creating hybrid forms of sensory engagement and corporeality.

Cognitive science research on **virtual embodiment** demonstrates how the brain integrates sensorimotor cues to generate a sense of body ownership and agency over digital avatars. Virtual bodies provide novel ways to study body representation and self-consciousness within controlled immersive environments.

3. Virtual Bodies and Altered Self-Perception

3.1 Sense of Embodiment in VR

The *sense of embodiment* refers to the feeling of owning a body, whether physical or digital. In VR contexts, users can experience strong bodily illusions in first-person perspective, often described as *full-body illusions*. These illusions arise when visual, tactile, and motor feedback are integrated in ways that align the digital body with the user's internal body schema.

Studies show that increased embodiment in virtual bodies intensifies emotional responses and presence within digital environments. Participants report stronger affective reactions to virtual stimuli when they feel ownership over a virtual body, suggesting that digital bodies can modulate psychological states in meaningful ways.

3.2 The Proteus Effect and Behavioral Change

The **Proteus effect** refers to changes in user behavior that result from characteristics of their digital avatars. For example, embodying a taller, more attractive, or otherwise distinct avatar can lead users to behave in ways consistent with cultural expectations associated with that body type. This highlights how digital bodies can shape not only perception but also social and behavioral patterns.

3.3 Body Representation and Cognitive Effects

Research into embodiment illusions reveals that altering one's virtual body can influence cognitive processes such as perception, memory, and implicit attitudes. For example, embodying a child-like body in VR changed participants' perception of object size and implicit attitudes about self and environment, showing how digital bodies affect underlying cognitive frameworks.

4. Digital Bodies and Psychisme: Identity, Self, and Agency

4.1 Identity Extension in Digital Spaces

Digital embodiment allows individuals to craft identities that extend beyond biological limitations. Through avatars and virtual representations, users explore alternate self-configurations—affecting identity, agency, and emotional experience. Digital bodies thus act as *psychological mirrors and extensions* of the self. These extended embodiments influence users' self-concept and psychisme, often leading to enhanced self-reflection and emotional processing within digital contexts.

4.2 Agency and Self-Representation

Control over digital bodies can enhance a user's sense of agency—the feeling of initiating and controlling actions. Manipulations of body ownership and narrative context can increase the sense of agency within virtual environments, suggesting that the **narrative framing of digital bodies** impacts psychisme and engagement.

4.3 Hybrid Presence and Cognitive Integration

The **metaverse** and other immersive digital environments propose new ontologies of presence where physical and virtual embodied experiences interact. In these complex settings, cognitive scientists are beginning to investigate how real and virtual bodies interact to shape perception, action, and self-awareness, pointing to a major reconfiguration of lived experience.

5. Cultural and Social Dimensions of Digital Embodiment

5.1 The Internet of Bodies and Social Inequality

Emerging concepts like the **Internet of Bodies** describe how bodies are increasingly connected, monitored, and mediated through digital technologies. This blurs boundaries between self and networked systems, raising important questions about privacy, surveillance, and identity in digital societies.

5.2 Digital Bodies in Inequality and Power Structures

Digital embodiment is not experienced uniformly; it is shaped by cultural norms, social structures, and power relations. Online harassment, embodiment exclusion, and asymmetric access to digital technologies reflect broader social inequalities encoded in digital bodies. Research on digital embodiment must therefore address *whose bodies* are represented, how, and in what social contexts.

6. Clinical and Applied Perspectives

6.1 Digital Bodies in Mental Health Interventions

VR and avatar embodiment are being explored in clinical settings to support well-being and therapeutic change. Immersive virtual environments can provide controlled exposure, stress coping practices, and perspective-shifting experiences that help with anxiety, trauma, and body

image disturbances. Embodying alternate bodies has shown promise for promoting flexible self-representation and self-concept adjustment.

Studies in mental health research show that VR embodiments increase physiological activation relevant to stress coping, suggesting digital bodies can be leveraged to train emotional regulation and social skills.

6.2 Enhancing Embodiment for Behavior Change

Beyond clinical therapy, virtual body representation can facilitate behavioral changes, such as improved motor learning and episodic memory performance, indicating that virtual bodies engage neural mechanisms related to learning and self-perception.

7. Challenges and Future Directions

7.1 Ethical and Psychological Risks

Despite benefits, digital embodiment raises ethical concerns about addiction, identity fragmentation, and detachment from physical reality. Overreliance on digital bodies may alter emotional regulation or induce disassociation in some individuals, warranting careful research and safeguards.

7.2 Interdisciplinary Integration

Future research must integrate philosophical, cognitive, cultural, and technological perspectives to build comprehensive frameworks of digital embodiment. Phenomenological, neuroscientific, and sociological methodologies will be vital for understanding *how* digital bodies alter psychisme across contexts.

7.3 The Metaverse and Beyond

As digital environments evolve—especially through the metaverse—embodiment research must address interactions between real and virtual bodies, hybrid agency, and new forms of collective experience in immersive social worlds.

8. Conclusion

Digital technologies are not just tools; they are **extensions and reconfigurations of human embodiment**. Virtual bodies, avatars, and immersive environments alter self-perception, emotional experience, and social interaction, reshaping psychisme in profound ways. From enhanced emotional processing and identity exploration to clinical applications and social inequalities, digital embodiment has wide-ranging implications. By uniting interdisciplinary insights, we can better understand how technology is transforming what it means to have and *be* a body in the digital age.

References

Hayles, N. K. (1999). *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Haraway, D. J. (1991). *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*. New York: Routledge.

Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. New York: Basic Books.

Turkle, S. (1995). *Life on the Screen: Identity in the Age of the Internet*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Hansen, M. B. N. (2006). *Bodies in Code: Interfaces with Digital Media*. New York: Routledge.

Ihde, D. (1990). *Technology and the Lifeworld: From Garden to Earth*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Merleau-Ponty, M. (1962). *Phenomenology of Perception*. London: Routledge.

Stone, A. R. (1995). *The War of Desire and Technology at the Close of the Mechanical Age*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Sobchack, V. (2004). *Carnal Thoughts: Embodiment and Moving Image Culture*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Farman, J. (2012). *Mobile Interface Theory: Embodied Space and Locative Media*. New York: Routledge.

de Vries, K. (2016). **Identity, profiling algorithms and a world of ambient intelligence.** *Ethics and Information Technology*, 18(1), 71–85.

Kitchin, R., & Dodge, M. (2011). *Code/Space: Software and Everyday Life*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Featherstone, M., & Burrows, R. (Eds.). (1995). *Cyberspace/Cyberbodies/Cyberpunk: Cultures of Technological Embodiment*. London: Sage Publications.

Boellstorff, T. (2008). *Coming of Age in Second Life: An Anthropologist Explores the Virtually Human*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Dourish, P. (2001). *Where the Action Is: The Foundations of Embodied Interaction*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.