

The Complexity of Diagnosing Borderline Personality Disorder

Dr. Isabella Rossi

Faculty of Psychology

Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

Received: 10/08/2025; Accepted: 20/02/2026; Published: 02/04/2026

Abstract

Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) diagnosis has been a topic of significant debate and scrutiny within the field of psychiatry. This critical review examines the existing diagnostic criteria for BPD and highlights several key issues., there is concern regarding the overlap between BPD and other personality disorders, making it challenging to differentiate accurately. The current criteria may not adequately capture the distinct features of BPD. the criteria rely heavily on observable behaviors and may not sufficiently consider the underlying psychological processes. BPD is often characterized by intense emotional dysregulation and unstable self-identity, which may not be adequately reflected in the current diagnostic framework. the categorical nature of the diagnosis may not account for the variability in symptom severity and presentation seen in individuals with BPD. A more dimensional approach might offer a better understanding of the disorder. cultural and gender biases in the diagnostic criteria need to be addressed to ensure a more accurate and inclusive assessment of BPD. this critical review suggests the need for a reevaluation and refinement of the diagnostic criteria for Borderline Personality Disorder to better reflect its complexity and variability while minimizing biases. A more comprehensive understanding of BPD is essential for improved diagnosis and treatment.

keywords : Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD),Diagnostic criteria, Personality disorders, Overlap, Emotional dysregulation, Self-identity

Introduction

Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) is recognized as one of the most complex and challenging conditions within the field of clinical psychology and psychiatry. It is characterized by intense emotional instability, impulsive behavior, unstable interpersonal relationships, and a disturbed sense of identity. Individuals with BPD often experience rapid mood changes, fear of abandonment, chronic feelings of emptiness, and difficulties in regulating emotions. These features not only affect the personal and social functioning of individuals but also make the diagnostic process particularly complicated for mental health professionals (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

The diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder has evolved over time with developments in psychiatric classification systems. The *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)* provides a set of criteria that clinicians use to identify the disorder. According to this framework, BPD is diagnosed when a persistent pattern of instability in interpersonal relationships, self-image, and emotional regulation is present, accompanied by marked impulsivity beginning in early adulthood and occurring across various contexts (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Despite these clearly defined criteria, many clinicians argue that the symptoms of BPD frequently overlap with other psychological disorders such as bipolar disorder, major depressive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder, which can lead to misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis (Paris, 2018).

Another factor contributing to the complexity of diagnosing BPD is the high rate of comorbidity with other mental health conditions. Research suggests that individuals diagnosed with BPD often meet the criteria for multiple psychiatric disorders simultaneously, including anxiety disorders, substance use disorders, and mood disorders. This overlap complicates the clinical assessment process and makes it difficult to determine whether the symptoms are primarily due to BPD or another co-occurring condition (Gunderson et al., 2018). Furthermore, the subjective nature of psychological evaluation, which relies heavily on clinical interviews and behavioral observations, can introduce variability in diagnosis depending on the clinician's experience and interpretation.

Cultural and social factors also play an important role in the diagnostic process. The expression of emotional distress, interpersonal behavior, and identity formation may differ across cultural contexts, potentially influencing how symptoms of BPD are interpreted and diagnosed. Additionally, stigma associated with personality disorders may discourage individuals from seeking professional help or may lead clinicians to be cautious in assigning the diagnosis (Millon, Grossman, & Meagher, 2012). As a result, the identification of BPD requires careful consideration of cultural background, developmental history, and psychosocial environment. Given these challenges, scholars and clinicians have increasingly called for a more comprehensive approach to diagnosing Borderline Personality Disorder. Contemporary research suggests that dimensional models of personality, which examine personality traits on a continuum rather than as fixed categories, may provide a more accurate understanding of the disorder. Such approaches may help address the limitations of traditional diagnostic systems and improve the reliability and validity of BPD assessment. Therefore, examining the complexity involved in diagnosing borderline personality disorder is essential for improving clinical practice and ensuring that individuals receive appropriate treatment and support.

Diagnostic Criteria and Clinical Definition of Borderline Personality Disorder

Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) is defined as a pervasive pattern of instability in interpersonal relationships, self-image, and emotional regulation, accompanied by marked impulsivity. According to the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)*, BPD is categorized under personality disorders and is typically identified by the presence

of specific behavioral and emotional patterns that begin in early adulthood and occur across different contexts (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The DSM-5 outlines nine diagnostic criteria, including intense fear of abandonment, unstable and intense interpersonal relationships, identity disturbance, impulsive behaviors, recurrent suicidal behavior or self-harm, emotional instability, chronic feelings of emptiness, inappropriate anger, and stress-related paranoia or dissociation. For a formal diagnosis, at least five of these criteria must be present over a significant period of time.

Despite these clearly defined criteria, the clinical definition of BPD remains complex. Many of the symptoms are subjective and rely heavily on clinical observation and patient self-reporting. As a result, the interpretation of symptoms may vary among clinicians. For instance, emotional instability or impulsivity may also appear in other mental health conditions, making it difficult to determine whether the symptoms are specifically related to BPD or part of another psychological disorder. This reliance on qualitative assessment often creates variability in diagnosis and highlights the challenges in establishing consistent diagnostic practices across different clinical settings (Gunderson et al., 2018).

Another challenge within the diagnostic framework is that BPD symptoms can fluctuate over time. Individuals may experience periods of stability followed by episodes of intense emotional distress or impulsive behavior. These changes may influence how symptoms are reported or observed during clinical evaluation. Consequently, clinicians must consider long-term behavioral patterns rather than isolated incidents when diagnosing BPD. This requirement emphasizes the importance of comprehensive clinical interviews, developmental history, and collateral information from family members or caregivers when available.

Furthermore, recent research suggests that categorical diagnostic systems such as the DSM may not fully capture the complexity of personality disorders. Some scholars advocate for dimensional models of personality, which assess traits on a continuum rather than within fixed diagnostic categories. Such approaches may provide a more accurate representation of personality functioning and help reduce diagnostic ambiguity in conditions like BPD (Widiger & Trull, 2007). Therefore, while the DSM-5 provides an important framework for identifying Borderline Personality Disorder, ongoing debates continue regarding the most effective ways to define and diagnose the condition.

Emotional Dysregulation and Its Role in Diagnosis

Emotional dysregulation is widely recognized as one of the core features of Borderline Personality Disorder. Individuals with BPD often experience intense emotional reactions that are difficult to manage or regulate effectively. These emotional responses can include sudden shifts in mood, heightened sensitivity to interpersonal stress, and prolonged emotional distress. Such patterns often lead to impulsive actions or interpersonal conflicts, which are central indicators used by clinicians in diagnosing the disorder (Linehan, 1993).

The concept of emotional dysregulation refers to difficulties in controlling emotional responses in a way that is socially appropriate and adaptive. In the case of BPD, emotional responses

tend to be intense, rapidly changing, and sometimes disproportionate to the situation. For example, a minor disagreement or perceived rejection may trigger strong feelings of anger, sadness, or anxiety. These emotional experiences can persist for extended periods and may interfere with the individual's ability to maintain stable relationships or make rational decisions (Crowell, Beauchaine, & Linehan, 2009).

From a clinical perspective, emotional dysregulation plays a crucial role in identifying BPD because it often explains many of the behavioral symptoms associated with the disorder. Impulsive actions such as self-harm, substance misuse, or risky behaviors may occur as attempts to cope with overwhelming emotions. Therefore, clinicians must assess not only the behaviors themselves but also the underlying emotional processes that drive these actions. Understanding the emotional mechanisms behind such behaviors helps differentiate BPD from other mental health conditions that may present similar outward symptoms.

Furthermore, emotional dysregulation in BPD is often linked to both biological and environmental factors. Research suggests that individuals with BPD may have heightened emotional sensitivity due to genetic or neurological vulnerabilities. At the same time, environmental influences such as childhood trauma, neglect, or unstable family environments can intensify difficulties in emotional regulation. The interaction between these biological and environmental factors contributes to the complexity of diagnosing the disorder and highlights the importance of a comprehensive psychological assessment (Crowell et al., 2009). emotional dysregulation remains a central concept in understanding and diagnosing Borderline Personality Disorder. By examining patterns of emotional instability and the strategies individuals use to cope with distress, clinicians can gain valuable insight into the underlying mechanisms of the disorder and make more accurate diagnostic decisions.

Historical Evolution of BPD Diagnosis:

The historical evolution of the diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) is a journey marked by transformative shifts in psychiatric understanding and clinical practice. To comprehend the contemporary diagnostic criteria and challenges surrounding BPD, it is essential to trace its historical roots. This section embarks on a historical exploration, illuminating the significant milestones, controversies, and paradigm shifts that have shaped our understanding of this complex mental health condition. The story of BPD diagnosis is one of evolution from its inception as a vague and controversial concept to its present-day status as a recognized personality disorder. Throughout this historical journey, various terminologies and conceptualizations emerged, reflecting changing psychiatric paradigms. Additionally, key figures and their contributions played pivotal roles in shaping the diagnostic criteria. Understanding this evolution not only provides insight into the disorder's historical context but also sheds light on the ongoing debates and challenges faced by clinicians and researchers in the field of personality disorders. As we delve into the historical evolution of BPD diagnosis, we will uncover how shifting societal attitudes, advancements in psychiatric research, and changing perspectives on mental health have influenced the characterization and diagnosis of

this enigmatic disorder. By gaining a historical perspective, we can better appreciate the complexities of BPD and the diagnostic criteria that have emerged over time, paving the way for more informed discussions on its contemporary assessment and treatment.

The Challenge of Overlap:

The diagnostic landscape of Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) is riddled with a persistent challenge—overlap. This section delves into the intricate and often perplexing issue of overlap, where the diagnostic boundaries of BPD blur with those of other personality disorders, creating a web of complexity for clinicians and researchers alike. To comprehend the nuances of BPD diagnosis and its implications, it is imperative to confront this central dilemma. Overlap in BPD diagnosis refers to the striking similarities in symptomatology and behavioral patterns shared with other personality disorders, particularly those within Cluster B of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). The diagnostic criteria for BPD are laden with features such as impulsivity, emotional instability, and tumultuous relationships, which are not unique to BPD alone. This has led to concerns about the accuracy of diagnosis and the potential for mislabeling individuals who may fall on the borderline between different personality disorders. This section explores the challenges posed by this overlap, highlighting specific diagnostic criteria and symptom domains that contribute to the confusion. It examines cases where individuals may exhibit traits of multiple personality disorders simultaneously, blurring the lines between BPD, narcissistic personality disorder, histrionic personality disorder, and antisocial personality disorder, among others. Furthermore, it delves into the impact of this diagnostic ambiguity on treatment approaches, emphasizing the need for more precise and tailored interventions. By confronting the challenge of overlap head-on, this section aims to shed light on the complexities faced by clinicians in distinguishing BPD from its diagnostic neighbors. It underscores the importance of refining the diagnostic criteria to provide greater clarity in the assessment of this intricate and often misunderstood mental health condition.

The Role of Observable Behaviors:

The role of observable behaviors in the diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) is both pivotal and contentious. BPD, a complex mental health condition characterized by emotional instability, self-identity disturbances, and turbulent interpersonal relationships, presents a unique challenge to clinicians and diagnosticians. At the heart of this challenge lies the reliance on observable behaviors as the primary criteria for diagnosis. This section delves into the intricate relationship between BPD and the external manifestations that have historically defined it, examining the strengths and limitations of this approach. In psychiatric practice, diagnosing BPD often involves the observation and assessment of overt behaviors and symptoms. These can include self-harm, impulsive acts, intense mood swings, and volatile interpersonal interactions. However, this emphasis on external manifestations can lead to a narrow view of the disorder, potentially overlooking the profound emotional turmoil and

identity struggles experienced by individuals with BPD. This section endeavors to explore the impact of the focus on observable behaviors in BPD diagnosis. It will scrutinize the potential pitfalls of this approach, including the risk of misdiagnosis when symptom presentation is subtle or atypical. Moreover, it will highlight how the emphasis on behaviors may inadvertently stigmatize individuals with BPD by focusing on their actions rather than the underlying psychological distress. While observable behaviors are undeniably crucial in identifying and assessing BPD, this section underscores the need for a more comprehensive and nuanced approach to diagnosis. By recognizing the interplay between external behaviors and internal experiences, we can strive for a more accurate and empathetic understanding of this complex and multifaceted mental health condition.

The Complexity of Psychological Processes:

The diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) has long been associated with a profound conundrum—the intricate interplay of observable behaviors and underlying psychological processes. BPD, a condition characterized by emotional dysregulation, unstable self-identity, and turbulent interpersonal relationships, poses a formidable challenge for clinicians and researchers. Beneath the surface of impulsive acts and intense mood swings lie intricate psychological processes that often elude easy detection. This section embarks on a journey into the depths of BPD diagnosis, unraveling the complexities of the psychological underpinnings that have come to define this enigmatic mental health condition. At the heart of BPD's complexity lies the intricate web of emotions, thoughts, and perceptions that shape an individual's experience. Emotional dysregulation, central to the disorder, manifests in intense and unstable moods, often accompanied by overwhelming feelings of emptiness and despair. Unraveling these emotional intricacies can be a daunting task, as they are often masked by outward behaviors that draw immediate attention. This section seeks to illuminate the multifaceted nature of the psychological processes that underlie BPD. It delves into the challenges of deciphering the internal experiences of individuals with BPD, including their struggles with identity, self-worth, and emotional turmoil. Moreover, it examines how these internal processes contribute to impulsive behaviors and unstable relationships, shedding light on the complex cause-and-effect dynamics within the disorder. While observable behaviors are integral to BPD diagnosis, understanding the complexity of the psychological processes is equally crucial. By exploring the inner landscape of individuals with BPD, we can gain a more comprehensive and empathetic perspective on their experiences. This, in turn, may inform more precise and effective approaches to diagnosis and treatment, moving beyond surface-level observations to address the profound psychological challenges that define BPD.

Conclusion

our journey through the diagnostic criteria for borderline personality disorder (BPD) has uncovered a complex and evolving landscape within the field of psychiatry. This critical review has illuminated the historical evolution of BPD diagnosis, highlighting its transformation from

a vague and controversial concept to a recognized personality disorder. We have explored the persistent challenge of overlap, where BPD's diagnostic boundaries blur with those of other personality disorders, creating ambiguity and potential misdiagnosis. Additionally, we delved into the role of observable behaviors in the diagnosis of BPD, acknowledging their importance while recognizing the need for a more comprehensive approach. Furthermore, we ventured into the intricate psychological processes that underlie BPD, emphasizing their significance in understanding this multifaceted condition. Through this review, it becomes evident that diagnosing BPD is far from straightforward. The existing diagnostic criteria, while valuable, are not without limitations. The challenge of overlap poses a constant dilemma for clinicians, and the reliance on observable behaviors may inadvertently overshadow the profound emotional and psychological struggles experienced by individuals with BPD. To move forward, it is essential to consider a more dimensional and inclusive approach to BPD diagnosis. Addressing the overlap with neighboring personality disorders calls for refinement in the diagnostic criteria, enabling more precise differentiation. Acknowledging the role of psychological processes and their influence on behavior can lead to a deeper understanding of BPD, fostering empathy and tailored interventions. We must remain vigilant against cultural and gender biases that may skew diagnosis and perpetuate disparities in mental health care. A more inclusive and culturally sensitive assessment is not only ethical but also essential for equitable mental health practices. In the ever-evolving landscape of mental health, this critical review serves as a call to action. It beckons for continued research, dialogue, and collaboration among clinicians, researchers, and policymakers. As we seek to refine the diagnostic criteria for BPD, we must remain committed to a holistic understanding of this complex condition—one that respects the interplay of behaviors, psychological processes, cultural contexts, and individual experiences. Our aim is not only to improve diagnosis but also to enhance the lives of individuals grappling with Borderline Personality Disorder. By addressing the challenges and embracing a more comprehensive perspective, we can strive for a future where BPD is understood, diagnosed, and treated with greater precision, empathy, and effectiveness.

References

1. Zanarini, M. C., & Vujanovic, A. A. (2003). Paradoxes of the Borderline Diagnosis: A Critique of the DSM IV Criteria. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 17(1), 19-29.
2. Skodol, A. E., & Bender, D. S. (2003). Why are Women Diagnosed Borderline More than Men? *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 74(4), 349-360.
3. Gunderson, J. G. (2009). Borderline Personality Disorder: Ontogeny of a Diagnosis. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 166(5), 530-539.
4. Paris, J. (2005). The Diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder: Flawed but Better Than the Alternatives. *Annals of Clinical Psychiatry*, 17(1), 41-46.
5. Linehan, M. M. (1993). *Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment of Borderline Personality Disorder*. Guilford Press.

CORPS & PSYCHISME

P-ISSN: 2496-4476 E-ISSN: 2273-157

Volume 13/ Issue 1/ 2026

6. Clarkin, J. F., & Levy, K. N. (2003). The Influence of Client Variables on Psychotherapy. In J. C. Norcross (Ed.), *Psychotherapy Relationships That Work* (pp. 251-270). Oxford University Press.
7. Sharp, C., & Green, K. (2012). Diagnostic Validity of the Proposed DSM-5 Borderline Personality Disorder Criteria: An Evaluation in a Clinical Sample. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 121(4), 961-970.
8. Widiger, T. A., & Trull, T. J. (2007). Plate Tectonics in the Classification of Personality Disorder: Shifting to a Dimensional Model. *The American Psychologist*, 62(2), 71-83.
9. Gunderson, J. G., & Links, P. S. (2008). *Borderline Personality Disorder: A Clinical Guide*. American Psychiatric Publishing.
10. Oldham, J. M., & Skodol, A. E. (2000). Charting the Borderline Spectrum: A Practical Approach. *Journal of Practical Psychiatry and Behavioral Health*, 6(3), 157-164.