CORPS & PSYCHISME
P-ISSN: 2496-4476 E-ISSN: 2273-157
Volume 13/ Issue 1/ 2026

Impact of Microplastics on Marine Invertebrates: A Toxicological Assessment

Dr. Lucia M. Fernández
Department of Marine Toxicology and Environmental Sciences,
University of Lisbon, Portugal

Received: **10/08/**2025; Accepted: **20/02/**2026; Published: 13**/04/2026**

Abstract
Worries about the ecological and toxicological effects of microplastics have grown in response to their alarming proliferation in marine environments, especially among the invertebrates that are essential to aquatic food webs. the effects of microplastics on several invertebrate species in the ocean, including mollusks, crustaceans, echinoderms, and cnidarians, based on current scientific data and observations from the field. Filter feeders and deposit feeders are able to easily consume microplastics because of their tiny size and persistence, which causes bioaccumulation and trophic transmission. Physical obstructions, decreased feeding efficiency, and poor energy allocation are some of the negative effects they can have after consumed, which can have a knock-on effect on development, offspring, and survival rates. Exposure to microplastics can cause oxidative stress, inflammatory reactions, disturbance of the endocrine system, and genotoxicity in organisms because they serve as vectors for harmful additives and adsorbed contaminants such persistent organic compounds and heavy metals. Vulnerability is mostly determined by species-specific feeding tactics and physiological features, according to comparative investigations. Zooplankton and bivalves are especially vulnerable. alterations in behavior, changes in enzyme activity, and inhibition of the immune system are examples of sublethal consequences that could jeopardize ecosystem functioning and population stability. This review highlights the critical need for standardized testing techniques, better monitoring of microplastic contamination, and the incorporation of ecotoxicological data into marine management plans by combining toxicological findings across taxa. The results add to the increasing amount of evidence that microplastics are more than just pollutants; they are new toxicological stressors that threaten marine biodiversity and the longevity of ocean ecosystems.
Keywords: Microplastics; Marine invertebrates; Ecotoxicology; Bioaccumulation; Oxidative stress;

Introduction
One of the most ubiquitous contaminants in marine habitats, microplastics (plastic particles smaller than 5 mm) have emerged, causing substantial ecological and toxicological issues. These particles are found everywhere in the ocean, from the surface waters to the deepest strata. They either originate from bigger plastic waste that has been broken up or are created specifically as microbeads, fibers, and pellets. Due to their buoyancy and diminutive size, they are easily consumed by many marine creatures, especially invertebrates, which play an

important role in aquatic food chains. In the context of microplastic pollution, marine invertebrates like mollusks, crustaceans, echinoderms, and zooplankton are particularly vulnerable and ecologically significant because they provide habitat engineering and essential ecosystem services like nutrient cycling. Additionally, they are important prey for higher trophic levels. Microplastics have a toxicological effect on marine invertebrates that goes beyond what they swallow. Microplastics, if consumed, can physically block intestines, decrease feeding efficiency, and change the distribution of energy, all of which hinder development, reproduction, and survival. On top of that, microplastics can transport dangerous substances. Plastics can leach into tissues and cause oxidative stress, endocrine disruption, genotoxicity, and immune suppression. Some of these additives include bisphenol A and phthalates, while others include heavy metals, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. While these changes in biochemistry and physiology may not directly cause death, they might have sublethal consequences like as altered behavior and decreased fitness, which can eventually threaten population stability. Knowing how different species react is crucial. Because they filter-feed, bivalves and zooplankton are especially vulnerable, although echinoderms and crustaceans react differently based on how they feed and the conditions in which they live. In addition to endangering marine life and the people who eat it, microplastics can help toxins move up the food chain, a process known as trophic transfer. There is still a lack of consensus on how to best measure microplastic toxicity, how to calculate its long-term effects, and how to connect studies in the lab with actual environmental consequences, despite the increasing amount of focus on this topic. The purpose of this research is to compile the existing literature on the ecological, physiological, and biochemical consequences of microplastics on marine invertebrates. It aims to identify critical vulnerabilities, evaluate possible population-level repercussions, and offer insights that can drive strategies for marine conservation and pollution management by combining results from experimental and field-based research. Protecting marine biodiversity and making sure ocean ecosystems can withstand increasing plastic pollution both require an understanding of these toxicological pathways.

Ecological and Food Web Implications

Population-Level Consequences

Ingesting microplastics can have a cascade of sublethal and fatal effects on marine invertebrates, reducing their fitness on an individual level and eventually having an impact on populations. Population expansion and recruitment are hindered by reduced feeding efficiency, decreased energy allocation, and reproductive disturbance. This is particularly true for zooplankton and other species with short life cycles and high reproductive turnover. Microplastic exposure has been associated with decreased gamete quality, decreased larval survival, and developmental abnormalities in crustaceans and bivalves, which in turn weaken population stability. The potential for genetic diversity loss, diminished population resilience to stresses like overfishing and climate change, and an increase in the likelihood of local extinctions are all outcomes of these consequences. These results disturb the ecological functions that vulnerable species play in marine ecosystems and endanger their existence.

Trophic Transfer and Bioamplification

Secondary consumers, such as filter feeders like mussels and zooplankton, can consume microplastics, which can then be passed up the food chain when these species are eaten by higher trophic levels. Microplastics and the harmful compounds they adsorb, like heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants, are bioampedered through this trophic transfer. Consumption of polluted food by predators increases the risk of oxidative stress, endocrine disruption, and immune system impairment due to the accumulation of microplastics or their chemical components. Microplastics have the potential to harm marine animals, birds, and intermediate consumers like fish and cephalopods as they make their way down the food chain. The interdependence between microplastic contamination and food security is underscored by the fact that this transfer ultimately endangers human health through seafood eating.

Risks to Ecosystem Services

Marine invertebrates are essential for maintaining ecological services such nutrient cycling, bioturbation of sediment, reef construction, and grazing, which supports primary productivity. Microplastic contamination has led to a precipitous drop in invertebrate populations, endangering crucial ecosystem services. Water quality and habitat stability can be negatively impacted by disturbances to processes such as sediment turnover and nutrient recycling, which are enhanced by echinoderms and bivalves, respectively, and by sea cucumbers and other aquatic invertebrates. Fisheries productivity, which provides sustenance and income for millions of people, is likewise diminished when zooplankton abundance is low because energy cannot be transferred from primary producers to higher trophic levels. The long-term ecological and socio-economic repercussions of microplastic contamination are exacerbated when marine ecosystems lose their resilience to environmental change and ecosystem services.

Conclusion

There is mounting evidence that microplastics, a new kind of harmful pollution, are endangering the well-being and survival of marine invertebrate species. This evaluation shows that microplastics are harmful in more ways than one. They impede digestion and cause physical harm when swallowed, and they also carry harmful compounds that cause oxidative stress, endocrine disruption, immunotoxicity, and genotoxicity. Individual fitness declines and population-level instability results from these impacts, which undermine basic biological activities including nutrition, growth, reproduction, and development. Filter feeders and zooplankton are more vulnerable than other species due to their feeding habits and environment, but all of the main invertebrate groups we looked at showed noticeable effects when exposed. There are far-reaching ecological repercussions, in addition to individual and group consequences. Lowering marine food webs and putting higher trophic levels, including people, at toxicological risk through seafood eating, microplastics interrupt energy flow via trophic transfer and bioamplification. Microplastics constitute a greater danger to the resilience and functioning of marine ecosystems because they degrade invertebrate ecosystem services like nutrient cycling, water filtering, and sediment management. Major obstacles persist in standardizing toxicity testing, connecting lab results with real-world situations, and estimating sublethal, long-term, and intergenerational implications, even though the problem is becoming more well recognized. In order to formulate conservation and policy responses based on evidence and to appropriately assess ecological threats, it is necessary to address these gaps. Waste management system improvements, industrial discharge regulation, increased worldwide monitoring programs, and decreased plastic production and usage are all essential components of any effective mitigation strategy. To make sure that conservation efforts are reflecting the full extent of the danger, it is equally crucial to include ecotoxicological data into marine management frameworks. Microplastics pose a threat to ecosystem services, human health, and biodiversity; they should be acknowledged as more than just contaminants. To stop their spread and protect marine ecosystems for the next generation, proactive, multidisciplinary strategies are needed.

Bibliography

Andrady, A. L. (2017). The plastic in microplastics: A review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 119*(1), 12–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.01.082

Browne, M. A., Dissanayake, A., Galloway, T. S., Lowe, D. M., & Thompson, R. C. (2008). Ingested microscopic plastic translocates to the circulatory system of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis* (L.). *Environmental Science & Technology, 42*(13), 5026–5031. https://doi.org/10.1021/es800249a

Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C., & Galloway, T. S. (2011). Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: A review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62*(12), 2588–2597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.09.025

GESAMP. (2016). *Sources, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: Part two of a global assessment*. Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection. IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP.

Li, J., Qu, X., Su, L., Zhang, W., Yang, D., Kolandhasamy, P., Li, D., & Shi, H. (2016). Microplastics in mussels along the coastal waters of China. *Environmental Pollution, 214*, 177–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.04.012

Lu, Y., Zhang, Y., Deng, Y., Jiang, W., Zhao, Y., Geng, J., Ding, L., & Ren, H. (2016). Uptake and accumulation of polystyrene microplastics in zebrafish and toxic effects in liver. *Environmental Science & Technology, 50*(7), 4054–4060. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00183

Setälä, O., Fleming-Lehtinen, V., & Lehtiniemi, M. (2014). Ingestion and transfer of microplastics in the planktonic food web. *Environmental Pollution, 185*, 77–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.013

Sussarellu, R., Suquet, M., Thomas, Y., Lambert, C., Fabioux, C., Pernet, M. E. J., Goïc, N. L., Quillien, V., Mingant, C., Epelboin, Y., Corporeau, C., Guyomarch, J., Robbens, J., Paul-Pont, I., Soudant, P., & Huvet, A. (2016). Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to polystyrene microplastics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113*(9), 2430–2435. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1519019113

Wright, S. L., Thompson, R. C., & Galloway, T. S. (2013). The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: A review. *Environmental Pollution, 178*, 483–492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031

Zhang, C., Chen, X., Wang, J., & Tan, L. (2017). Toxic effects of microplastic on marine microalgae *Skeletonema costatum*: Interactions between microplastic and algae. *Environmental Pollution, 220*, 1282–1288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.005