CORPS & PSYCHISME
P-ISSN : 2496-4476 E-ISSN: 2273-1571
Volume 13/ Issue 1/ 2026

Transparency and Accountability in Public Administration: A Study of Anti-Corruption Reforms

Dr. Amélie Fournier
Lyon Institute of Science, France

Received: 29-04-2025 Accepted: 24-03-2026 Published: 12-06-2026

Abstract:
In order to guarantee efficient governance and promote public confidence in governmental institutions, transparency and accountability are essential concepts. In many public administrations, corruption is still a major problem that compromises the effectiveness of government operations and the provision of basic services. the importance of accountability and openness in reducing corruption by examining anti-corruption measures in public administration. numerous tactics used by governments around the world to encourage transparency and integrity in public sector activities, such as institutional reforms, legal frameworks, and technology use. Through the examination of case studies from nations with differing degrees of success in their anti-corruption initiatives, the study pinpoints the essential elements that make reforms effective and draws attention to the obstacles that stand in the way of advancement. The results highlight the value of a multifaceted strategy for combating corruption, one that incorporates not just robust institutional and legal safeguards but also a dedication to international collaboration, cultural transformation, and citizen involvement. In order to contribute to the development of more responsive, equitable, and corruption-free governance systems, this study ultimately seeks to offer policy recommendations that will improve accountability and transparency in public administration.

Keywords: Transparency, accountability, public administration, anti-corruption reforms, governance, regulatory framework

Introduction:

The foundations of good governance and public confidence in governmental institutions are accountability and transparency. Public administration is supposed to operate in the best interests of the populace in democratic countries by delivering services effectively, equitably, and impartially. Nonetheless, corruption continues to be a problem in many governments, impeding progress, squandering public funds, and eroding the legitimacy of public institutions. Corruption can impede social and economic advancement by causing resource misallocation, eroding public trust, and even sustaining inequality. Therefore, anti-corruption reforms that increase accountability and transparency are essential to developing a public administration that is more responsive, just, and free from corruption. To combat corruption, governments all over the world have put in place a number of measures, such as creating independent anti-corruption agencies, encouraging transparency through open data projects, and enacting strict laws and oversight procedures. The goals of these changes are to guarantee that citizens have access to information about government procedures, that public officials are held responsible for their acts, and that public resources are used appropriately. The effectiveness of anti-corruption reforms is still inconsistent, with many nations still grappling with widespread corruption despite the advancements in some areas. A number of variables, such as political will, the effectiveness of institutional and legal frameworks, and the participation of civil society, affect this discrepancy in results. Additionally, as governments embrace new tools for openness while juggling the risks of misuse, the emergence of digital technologies has brought both opportunities and challenges in the fight against corruption. the part accountability and openness play in reducing corruption in public administration. The study will analyse a range of anti-corruption reforms that have been put into place in various nations in order to pinpoint the main factors that contribute to successful reform and investigate the obstacles that stand in the way of advancement. Finally, conclusions and policy suggestions for enhancing governance through increased accountability and transparency, helping to build more efficient, equitable, and reliable public administration systems.

The Impact of Corruption on Public Administration

One serious problem that impairs the efficacy and efficiency of governance systems around the world is corruption in public administration. It has a detrimental impact on social justice, economic growth, and the provision of basic public services in addition to undermining the legitimacy of governmental institutions. Bribery, nepotism, embezzlement, and favouritism are just a few examples of the many ways corruption skews decision-making, diverts public funds, and undermines public confidence in their government. the complex ways that corruption affects public administration and society at large, emphasising its negative consequences for public services, governance, and the economy.

Consequences of Corruption on Governance

The efficacy of government is directly weakened by corruption in public administration. Decision-making gets biassed and policies are crafted to benefit a select few rather than the general public when public officials put their own interests ahead of serving the public good. By weakening the idea of responsibility and warping public policy, this undercuts democratic ideals. Government actions may reflect the interests of corrupt elites rather than community requirements, resulting in inefficient resource allocation and the neglect of vital public services. Additionally, corruption weakens democratic processes by concentrating power among particular political or economic groups. Corrupt officials and organisations can continue to control government operations by influencing laws, appointments, and public procurement, which hinders efficient oversight, openness, and the fair allocation of funds. As people lose faith in the system's capacity to act in their best interests, this promotes inequity and fuels political instability.

Economic Consequences of Corruption

Economic development is significantly harmed by public administration corruption. The misallocation of public resources is frequently the outcome of unscrupulous behaviour by public authorities. Instead, money may be embezzled by dishonest means or misappropriated for private benefit rather than being used for social welfare, healthcare, education, and vital infrastructure. This restricts prospects for economic growth and impedes the development of vital sectors, especially in developing nations.

Corruption also makes doing business more expensive. For instance, companies might have to pay bribes in exchange for contracts, licenses, or preferential treatment from the government, which would result in inefficiencies and higher expenses. This deters foreign investment and raises the overall cost of public services. In severe situations, corruption can stifle competition and create monopolies, distorting entire markets and impairing economic performance while sustaining poverty.

Additionally, corruption erodes the confidence of both foreign and domestic investors. Government policies that are unpredictable and lack a fair, transparent procedure can discourage investment, depriving nations of vital funds for development and progress. Because ineffective administration undermines economic activity, economies that struggle with corruption frequently experience stagnation.

Impact on Public Services

Corruption has a serious negative influence on the provision of basic public services like social assistance, healthcare, education, and transportation. The quality and accessibility of services decline when funds meant for these services are misdirected or misallocated as a result of unethical behaviour. For example, the quality of medical care is frequently below par and necessary medical supplies may be scarce in nations with corrupt public healthcare systems.

Corruption in the education sector can lead to the misappropriation of monies intended for instructional resources, teacher pay, or school building. Corruption can sometimes even include the illicit sale of degrees or other credentials, which keeps inequality alive and denies underprivileged groups opportunities.

Furthermore, corruption may lead to the incorrect distribution of infrastructure projects. Poor roads, bridges, and public transit systems result from public procurement, which is frequently bribed and biassed. This lowers the overall efficacy of public infrastructure and jeopardises safety.

Social Consequences and Erosion of Public Trust

Significant societal repercussions also result from corruption, especially when it comes to maintaining inequality and eroding the social compact between citizens and governments. Social trust is damaged when people perceive that public services are being abused or that government funds are being syphoned off to a select few. This increases social discontent and, in certain situations, civil unrest by eroding the credibility of governmental institutions and fostering a sense of injustice.

Furthermore, because the most vulnerable and impoverished groups are frequently the ones who suffer the most, corruption makes poverty and inequality worse. The underprivileged have even fewer prospects for advancement when governmental authorities misappropriate funds that could be utilised for welfare, social development, and poverty alleviation initiatives. This results in a generational cycle of poverty and inequality that impedes social mobility and keeps society divided.

People may be less inclined to engage in politics if public confidence in government institutions declines, which could lead to political apathy. Because disengaged citizens are unable to hold public officials accountable for their acts, corrupt institutions may become even more entrenched.

International Consequences of Corruption

Additionally, corruption has significant global repercussions. For instance, corruption can jeopardise diplomatic ties and international trade in today's globalised society. The integrity of international financial institutions may be threatened by corrupt governments' manipulation of trade policy, violations of international law, and participation in illegal financial flows. Additionally, transnational crimes like money laundering, human trafficking, and the illegal drug trade—all of which fuel international instability—may be encouraged by corruption.

International institutions like the World Bank and the United Nations have long acknowledged the detrimental impact corruption has on world development and have taken steps to promote accountability and transparency in national governments. Since corruption frequently crosses national boundaries and requires coordinated action from governments, financial institutions, and civil society, anti-corruption initiatives necessitate international cooperation.

Conclusion

Public administration corruption is still a major problem that threatens social trust, economic growth, and government. Because corruption is so widespread, it makes it difficult to allocate resources effectively, skews decision-making, and results in poor public service administration. The most disadvantaged groups frequently suffer the most from its effects, which are felt in a variety of sectors, including social assistance, infrastructure, healthcare, and education. Furthermore, corruption feeds a vicious cycle of disengagement and political apathy by undermining democratic institutions, increasing socioeconomic inequality, and eroding public confidence in the government. Even while corruption has serious repercussions, anti-corruption measures, when properly executed, can significantly reduce these adverse effects. Building a public administration free from corruption requires openness, transparency, robust legislative frameworks, citizen participation, and international collaboration. In order to ensure that corruption is not just punished but also prevented through systemic changes, governments must prioritise institutional strengthening, cultivating an integrity-based culture, and so on. In the end, fighting corruption necessitates an all-encompassing and persistent endeavour including governments, the commercial sector, civil society, and the international community. Public administrations may restore trust, enhance service delivery, and guarantee that public resources are used for the greater good by cultivating an atmosphere of transparency, equity, and accountability. A more open, responsible, and equitable public administration system that can serve the interests of all residents while fostering long-term growth and social well-being can only be achieved by these coordinated efforts.

Bibliography

- **UNDP (United Nations Development Programme).** *Decentralization and Local Governance in Developing Countries.* UNDP, 2003.
- **OECD (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development).** *Public Governance Reviews: Towards More Effective Public Service Delivery.* OECD, 2016.
- Sahebrao Dharpawar, D. (2018). Bureaucracy and Development Nature and Characteristics: A Review. *Innovative Research Thoughts*, *4*(2), 186–190. Retrieved from https://irt.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/501
- Dr. Vikash. (2018). Development of Public Administration and its Research in India through the Lenses of Content Analysis of the International Public Administration Review. *Innovative Research Thoughts*, *4*(3), 213–218. Retrieved from https://irt.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/1265

- **OECD Public Governance and Territorial Development Directorate.** "Public Administration and Governance: Key Trends and Challenges." *OECD Insights*. Available at: https://www.oecd.org
- **The World Bank.** "Decentralization and Governance: What Works and What Doesn't." *The World Bank* (2007). Available at: https://www.worldbank.org